UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

Protective Order

v.

20 Cr. 655 (KPF)

Omar Adams,

Defendant.

Upon the application of the United States of America, with the consent of the undersigned counsel, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. Confidential Material. The Government will make disclosure to the defendant of documents, objects and information, including electronically stored information ("ESI"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, 18 U.S.C. §3500, and the Government's general obligation to produce exculpatory and impeachment material in criminal case. Certain of that discovery may include material that affects the privacy and confidentiality of individuals and/or exposes sensitive personal information. Discovery materials produced by the Government to the defendant or his counsel that are either (1) designated in whole or in part as "Confidential" by the Government in emails or communications to defense counsel, or (2) that include a Bates or other label stating "Confidential," shall be deemed "Confidential Material." Confidential Material shall include, but may not be limited to, a 911 call and body worn camera footage depicting the inside of a hospital and witness interviews.

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

2. Confidential Material shall not be disclosed by the defendant or defense counsel, including any successor counsel ("the defense") other than as set forth herein, and shall be used by the defense solely for purposes of defending this action. The defense shall not post any

Confidential Material on any Internet site or network site to which persons other than the parties hereto have access, and shall not disclose any Confidential Material to the media or any third party except as set forth below.

- 3. Confidential Material may be disclosed by counsel to:
- (a) Personnel for whose conduct counsel is responsible, *i.e.*, personnel employed by or retained by counsel, as needed for purposes of defending this action;
 - (b) Prospective witnesses for purposes of defending this action.
- 4. The Government may authorize, in writing, disclosure of Confidential Material beyond that otherwise permitted by this Order without further Order of this Court.
- 5. If there is a dispute between the parties concerning the Government's Confidential Material designation of certain discovery materials, the parties shall meet and confer without prejudice to a subsequent application by defense counsel seeking de-designation of such material by the Court. If the defense moves the Court for de-designation of disputed material, the Government shall respond within seven days of the defense filing, absent further Order of this Court. The Government shall bear the burden of establishing good cause for its Confidential Material designation of the disputed materials.
- 6. This Order does not prevent the disclosure of any Confidential Material in any hearing or trial held in this action, or to any judge or magistrate judge, for purposes of this action. All filings should comply with the privacy protection provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 49.1.
- 7. Except for Confidential Material that has been made part of the record of this case, the defense shall return to the Government or securely destroy or delete all Confidential Material,

including the seized ESI Confidential Material, within 30 days of the expiration of the period for direct appeal from any verdict in the above-captioned case; the period of direct appeal from any order dismissing any of the charges in the above-captioned case; or the granting of any motion made on behalf of the Government dismissing any charges in the above-captioned case, whichever date is later.

- 8. The defense shall provide a copy of this Order to prospective witnesses and persons retained by counsel to whom the defense has disclosed Confidential Material. All such persons shall be subject to the terms of this Order. Defense counsel shall maintain a record of what Confidential Material has been disclosed to which such persons.
- 9. This Order places no restriction on a defendant's use or disclosure of ESI that originally belonged to the defendant.

Retention of Jurisdiction

10. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney

by: Melvecu Lee

Date: <u>12/14/2020</u>

Rebecca T. Dell

Assistant United States Attorney

Ariel Werner

Counsel for Omar Adams

Date: <u>12/14/2020</u>

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York December 14, 2020

THE HONORABLE KATHERINE POLK FAILLA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Katherin Palle Fails

This protective order does not bind the Court or any of its personnel. The Court can modify this Order at any time.